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## ORDER

This petition has been filed for revision of transmission ta riff due to additional capital expenditure incurred during 2004-05 in respect of 400/229 kV Bhiwadi substation along with ULO of Ballabhgam-Bassi 400 kV S/C line and ICT-I and ICT-II at Bhiwadi (the transmission asset) in Northem Region during the period from 1.4.2004 to 31.3.2005, based on the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Ta riff) Regulations, 2004 (hereinafter referred to as "the 2004 regulations"). The petitioner has also prayed for approval of revised transmission tariff for the period 2004-09, reimbursement of the petition filing fee, licence fee and other expenditure in connection with the filing of the petition.
2. The approval for the cost estimate of the transmission assets of ₹8675.00 lakh, including IDC of ₹146.00 lakh was accorded by Ministry of Power under its letter dated 11.7.2002. The date of commercial operation of the transmission a ssets is 1.3.2003.
3. The transmission charges for the transmission assets were approved by the Commission vide its 26.4.2006 in Petition No. 49/2005 with I.A.No. 8/2005. While approving the transmission charges, the Commission granted the liberty to the petitioner to claim appropriate revision of tariff for period 2004-05 in accordance
with law, based on additional capitalization/de-capitalization. The summary of the transmission charges is extracted hereunder:

|  | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depreciation | 227.13 | 227.13 | 227.13 | 227.13 | 227.13 |
| Interest on Loan | 606.86 | 562.52 | 504.28 | 446.05 | 390.53 |
| Retum on Equity | 272.26 | 272.26 | 272.26 | 272.26 | 272.26 |
| Advance against Depreciation | 0.00 | 312.85 | 353.17 | 353.17 | 313.32 |
| Interest on Working Capital | 33.56 | 38.96 | 39.42 | 39.22 | 38.43 |
| O \& M Expenses | 240.21 | 249.85 | 259.88 | 270.17 | 281.07 |
| Total | 1380.02 | 1663.57 | 1656.15 | 1608.00 | 1522.73 |

4. The relevant details of the capital expenditure of the transmission assets
claimed by the petitioner are as under.

|  |  |  |  | (₹ in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital c ost <br> a son <br> 1.4 .2004 | Additional <br> Capital <br> Expenditure <br> during 2004-05 | Capital cost <br> as on <br> 1.4 .2005 | Additional Capital <br> Expend iture during <br> 2005-06, 2006-07, <br> 2007-08 and 2008-09 | Capital cost <br> ason <br> 1.4 .2009 |
| 8351.49 | 154.73 | 8506.22 | 0.00 | 8506.22 |

5. The petitioner has claimed the transmission charges as under:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | 2008-09 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Depreciation | 228.46 | 229.79 | 229.79 | 229.79 | 229.79 |
| Interest on Loan | 612.21 | 572.69 | 513.40 | 454.11 | 397.59 |
| Retum on Equity | 275.50 | 278.75 | 278.75 | 278.75 | 278.75 |
| Advance against Depreciation | 0.00 | 319.36 | 361.01 | 361.01 | 320.43 |
| Interest on Working Capital | 34.22 | 39.92 | 40.42 | 40.24 | 39.44 |
| O \& M Expenses | 240.21 | 249.85 | 259.88 | 270.17 | 281.07 |
|  | Total | 1390.60 | 1690.36 | 1683.25 | 1634.07 |

6. The deta ils submitted by the petitioner in support of its cla im for interest on working
capital are given hereunder:

| (₹ in la kh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Working Capital | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ |  |
| Ma intenance Spares | 82.02 | 86.94 | 92.16 | 97.69 | 103.55 |  |
| O \& M expenses | 20.02 | 20.82 | 21.66 | 22.51 | 23.42 |  |
| Receivables | 231.77 | 281.73 | 280.54 | 272.35 | 257.85 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 3 3 . 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 9 . 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 4 . 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 2 . 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 4 . 8 2}$ |  |
| Rate of Interest | $10.25 \%$ | $10.25 \%$ | $10.25 \%$ | $10.25 \%$ | $10.25 \%$ |  |
| Interest | 34.22 | 39.92 | 40.42 | 40.24 | 39.44 |  |

7. The reply to the petition has been filed by Uttar Pradesh Power Comoration Ltd. (UPPCL) and Haryana Power Purchase Centre (HPPC). UPPCL in its affidavit dated 13.8.2010 has submitted that there is discrepancy between the figures of cumulative depreciation and Advance Against Depreciation recovered up to 2004-05 in Form 11 and cumulative repayment up to 2005-06 in Form 13A which should be rectified. It has been further submitted that licence fee is the onus of licensee and should not be passed on to the beneficiaries. HPPC in its reply dated 11.8.2010 has submitted that the petitioner should be asked to explain the reasons for the delay in filing the additional capital expenditure petition for the period 2004-05 in the year 2010. It has been further submitted that as O \& M expenses in regulation have been stipulated on nomative basis, there is no justific ation in claim for escalation in $\mathrm{O} \& \mathrm{M}$ expenses.
8. The petitioner in its affidavit dated 16.8 .2010 has explained that the filing of the tariff petition got delayed due to oversight and there is no wilful default or negligence on the part of the petitioner and has requested for condonation of delay in filing the petition. We are satisfied with the explanation of the petitioner. As regards the other objections of UPPCL and HPPC, it is clarified that the tariff has been detemined strictly as per the provisions of the 2004 regulations.

## CAPIALCOST

9. The details submitted by the petitioner in support of its claim for additional capital expenditure are given hereunder:

| Year | Nature and details of expenditure | ( ₹ in lakh) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $2004-05$ | Sub-station, Build ing land, transmission line - <br> Balance and retention payments | 159.58 |
|  | Building- Lquidated damages | $(-) 4.85$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{1 5 4 . 7 3}$ |

10. It is noted that the cost indicated in the Auditor's certificate does not include recovery on account of liquidated damages of $₹ 4.85$ lakh and the petitioner has claimed transmission charges after subtracting the said amount of ₹4.85 lakh from the additional capital expenditure incurred during 2004-05 under the head of building asper said order dated 26.4.2006. The additional capital expenditure claimed is within the original scope of work and on account of retention/balance payments. The additional expenditure sought to be capitalised is a llowed under Regulation 53 of the 2004 regulations.

## TOTALCAPITALCOST

11. Based on the above, capital cost for the purpose of tariff in respect of the transmission a ssets a s on 31.3.2009 works out as under:

| (₹ in la kh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital cost <br> ason <br> 1.4 .2004 | Additional <br> Capital <br> Expend iture <br> during 2004-05 | Capital cost <br> ason <br> 1.4 .2005 | Additional Capital <br> Expenditure during <br> $2005-06,2006-07$, <br> $2007-08$ and 2008-09 | Capital cost <br> as on <br> 1.4 .2009 |  |  |
| 8351.49 | 154.73 | 8506.22 | 0.00 | 8506.22 |  |  |

## DEBT- EQUITY RATIO

12. The petitioner has considered the amount of additional capital expenditure in the debt-equity ratio of 70:30. This has been found to be in order. Accordingly, for the purpose of tariff, equity considered for the transmission a ssets is a s under:
(₹ in lakh)

| Equity as <br> on <br> 1.4 .2004 | Notional equity <br> due to additional <br> capital <br> expenditure <br> during 2004-05 | Average <br> equity <br> $2004-05$ | Equity as <br> on 1.4.2005 | Notional equity due <br> to additional capital <br> expenditure during <br> 2005-06, 2006-07, <br> $2007-08$ and 2008-09 | Average equity <br> for 2005-06, <br> $2006-07,2007-08$ <br> and 2008-09 | Equity as on <br> 1.4 .2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1944.68 | 46.42 | 1967.89 | 1991.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1991.10 |

## REIURN ON EQUITY

13. As per clause (iii) of Regulation 56 of the 2004 regulations, retum on equity shall be computed on the equity base detemined in accordance with regulation 54 @ 14\% per annum. Equity invested in foreign currenc y is to be allowed a retum in the same currency and the payment on this account is made in Indian Rupees based on the exchange rate prevailing on the due date of billing.
14. Equity as given in the table under para 12 above has been considered. However, tariff for 2004-05 and onwards has been allowed on average equity. Accordingly, retum on equity of ₹275.50 lakh for the year 2004-05 and ₹278.75 lakh for the year 2005-06 and onwards has been allowed.

## INIERESTON LOAN

15. Clause (i) of Regulation 56 of the 2004 regulations inter alia provides that,-
"(a) Interest on loan capital shall be computed loan wise on the loans a mived at in the mannerindicated in regulation 54.
(b) The loan outstanding as on 1.4.2004 shall be worked out as the gross loan in accordance with Regulation 54 minus cumulative repayment as admitted by the Commission or any other authority having power to do so, up to 31.3.2004. The repayment for the period 2004-09 shall be worked out on a nomative basis.
(c) The transmission licensee shall make every effort to re-finance the loan as long as it results in net benefit to the beneficiaries. The costs associated with such re-financing shall be bome by the beneficiaries.
(d) The changes to the loan tems and conditions shall be reflected from the date of such re-financing and benefit passed on to the beneficiaries.
(e) In case of dispute, any of the parties may approach the Commission with proper application. However, the beneficiaries shall not withhold any payment ordered by the Commission to the transmission licensee during pendency of any dispute relating to re-financing of loan;
(f) In case any moratorium period is availed of by the transmission licensee, depreciation provided for in the tariff during the years of moratorium shall be treated as repayment during those years and interest on loan capital shall be calculated accordingly.
(g) The transmission licensee shall not make any profit on account of refina ncing of loan and interest on loan;
(h) The transmission licensee may, at its discretion, swap loans having floating rate of interest with loans having fixed rate of interest, or vice versa, at its own cost and gains or losses as a result of such swapping shall accrue to the transmission licensee:

Provided that the beneficiaries shall be liable to pay interest for the loans initially contracted, whether on floating orfixed rate of interest."
16. In our calculation, the interest on loan has been worked out as detailed below:
(a) Gross a mount of loan, repayment of instalments a nd rate of interest as
per the order dated 24.4 .2006 in Petition No. 49/2005 has been used to word out weighted average rate of interest on actual loan. Actual loan corresponding to additional capital expenditure incurred during 2004-05 is nil;
(b) Tariff has been worked out considering nomative loan and nomative repayments. Once the nomative loan has been arived at, it is considered
for all purposes in the tariff. Nomative repayment has been worked out by the following formula:
Actual repayment of actual loan during the year
O------------------------------------------- X Opening balance of nomative
Opening balance of actual loan during the year loan during the year
(c) Moratorium in repayment of loan has been considered with reference to nomative loan and if the nomative repayment of loan during the year is less than the depreciation including Advance Against Depreciation during the year, it is considered as moratorium and depreciation including Advance Against Depreciation during the year is deemed as nomative repayment of loan during the year;
(d) Weighted average rate of interest on actual loan worked out as per (a) above has been applied on the notional average loan during the year to a mive at the interest on loan.
17. Based on the above, the details of interest worked out are given hereunder:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | 2008-09 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gross Normative Loan | 6406.81 | 6406.81 | 6406.81 | 6406.81 | 6406.81 |
| Cumulative Repayment up to <br> Previous Year/ date of commercial <br> operation | 122.20 | 416.77 | 1007.57 | 1598.37 | 2189.16 |
| Net Loan-Opening | 6284.61 | 6098.35 | 5507.56 | 4916.76 | 4325.96 |
| Addition due to Additional <br> Capita lisation during 2004-05 | 108.31 |  |  |  |  |
| Repayment during the year | 294.57 | 590.80 | 590.80 | 590.80 | 550.22 |
| Net Loan-Closing | 6098.35 | 5507.56 | 4916.76 | 4325.96 | 3775.74 |
| Average Loan | 6191.48 | 5802.95 | 5212.16 | 4621.36 | 4050.85 |
| Weighted Average Rate of Interest <br> on Loan | $9.8880 \%$ | $9.8690 \%$ | $9.8501 \%$ | $9.8264 \%$ | $9.8150 \%$ |
| Interest | $\mathbf{6 1 2 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 2 . 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 3 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 4 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 7 . 5 9}$ |

18. The detailed calculations in support of the weighted average rate of interest for transmission line contained in Annexure attached.

## DEPRECIATION

19. Sub-clause (a) of clause (ii) of Regulation 56 of the 2004 regulations provides for computation of depreciation in the following manner, na mely:
"(i) The value base for the purpose of depreciation shall be the historical cost of the asset.
(ii) Depreciation shall be calculated annually based on straight line method over the useful life of the asset and at the rates presc ribed in Appendix II to these regulations. The residual value of the asset shall be considered as $10 \%$ and depreciation shall be allowed up to maximum of $90 \%$ of the historical capital cost of the asset. Land is not a depreciable asset and its cost shall be excluded from the capital cost while computing $90 \%$ of the historical cost of the asset. The historical capital cost of the asset shall include additional capitalisation on account of Foreign Exchange Rate Variation up to 31.3.2004 already allowed by the Central Govemment/Commission.
(iii) On repayment of entire loan, the remaining depreciable value shall be spread over the balance useful life of the asset.
(iv) Depreciation shall be chargeable from the first year of operation. In case of operation of the asset forpart of the year, depreciation shall be charged on pro-rata basis."
20. Depreciation allowed has been worked out as below:
(₹ in lakh)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gross block as on the date of <br> commercial operation | 8351.49 | 8506.22 | 8506.22 | 8506.22 | 8506.22 |
| Additional C a pita lisa tion during 2004-05 | 154.73 | - | -- | - | - |
| Gross Block at the end of the year | 8506.22 | 8506.22 | 8506.22 | 8506.22 | 8506.22 |
| Rate of Deprec iation | $2.7105 \%$ | $2.7014 \%$ | $2.7014 \%$ | $2.7014 \%$ | $2.7014 \%$ |
| Depreciable Value | 6623.88 | 6673.72 | 6673.72 | 6673.72 | 6673.72 |
| Balance Useful life of the asset | - | - | - | - | - |
| Remaining Depreciable Value | 6393.92 | 6165.46 | 5616.31 | 5025.51 | 4434.72 |
| Depreciation | $\mathbf{2 2 8 . 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 9 . 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 9 . 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 9 . 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 9 . 7 9}$ |

## ADVANCE AGAINSTDEPRECIATION

21. As per sub-clause (b) of clause (ii) of Regulation 56 of the 2004 regulations, in addition to allowable depreciation, the transmission licensee is entitled to Advance Against Depreciation, computed in the manner given hereunder: AAD $=$ Loan repayment a mount as per regulation 56 (i) subject to a ceiling of $1 / 10$ th of loan amount as per regulation 54 minus depreciation as per schedule
22. It is provided that Advance Against Depreciation shall be pemitted only if the cumulative repayment up to a particular year exceeds the cumulative depreciation up to that year. It is further provided that Advance Against Depreciation in a year shall be restricted to the extent of difference between cumulative repayment and cumulative depreciation up to that year.
23. Accordingly, in our calculation the Advance Against Depreciation has been worked as detailed below:
(a) 1/10th of gross loan is worked out from the Gross Notional Loan as per para 17 above.
(b) Repayment of notional loan during the yearis considered as perpara 17 above.
(c) Depreciation is worked out as perpara 20 above.
(d) In the calculation of Advance Against Depreciation, cumulative depreciation/Advance Against Depreciation up to the preceding year
along with the depreciation of the current year have been considered for working out the Advance Against Depreciation
24. The Advance Against Depreciation has been worked as under:
(₹ in lakh)

| Advance against Depreciation | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1/10th of Gross Loan(s) | 640.68 | 640.68 | 640.68 | 640.68 | 640.68 |
| Repayment of the Loan | 294.57 | 590.80 | 590.80 | 590.80 | 550.22 |
| Minimum of the above | 294.57 | 590.80 | 590.80 | 590.80 | 550.22 |
| Deprec iation during the year | 228.46 | 229.79 | 229.79 | 229.79 | 229.79 |
| (A) Difference | 66.11 | 361.01 | 361.01 | 361.01 | 320.43 |
| Cumulative Repayment of the Loan | 416.77 | 1007.57 | 1598.37 | 2189.16 | 2739.39 |
| Cumulative Depreciation/ Advance <br> against Depreciation | 458.42 | 688.21 | 1237.36 | 1828.15 | 2418.95 |
| (B) Difference | -41.65 | 319.36 | 361.01 | 361.01 | 320.43 |
| Advance against Depreciation <br> Minimum of (A) and (B) | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 9 . 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 1 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 1 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 0 . 4 3}$ |

## OPERATION \& MAINIENANCE EXPENSES

25. In accordance with clause (iv) of Regulation 56 the 2004 regulations, the following norms are prescribed for $O \& M$ expenses:

|  | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004-05 |  |  |  |  |  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 |
| O\&M expenses (Rs in lakh per ckt-km) | 0.227 | 0.236 | 0.246 | 0.255 | 0.266 |  |  |  |  |  |
| O\&M expenses (Rs in lakh per bay) | 28.12 | 29.25 | 30.42 | 31.63 | 32.90 |  |  |  |  |  |

26. O\&M expenses as taken for the tariff calculations in the order dated 26.4.2006 in Petition No. 49/2005 has been considered, since line length and number of bays remain unchanged.

## INIERESTON WORKING CAPIAL

27. The components of the working capital and the interest thereon are discussed hereunder:

## (i) Maintenance spares

Regulation $56(v)$ (1) (b) of the 2004 regulations provides for maintenance spares @ $1 \%$ of the historic al cost escalated @ $6 \%$ per annum from the date of commercial operation. For the purpose of computation of maintenance spares, the historical cost is being taken as the cost on the date of commercial operation. Maintenance spares on additional capital expenditure are not being considered for the present. Accordingly, maintenance spares have been worked out on the historical cost and by providing escalation from the date of commercial operation.

## (ii) $O \& M$ expenses

Regulation 56(v)(1)(a) of the 2004 regulations provides for operation and maintenance expenses for one month as a component of working capital. O\&M expenses as considered in the orders dated 26.4.2006 in Petition No. 49/2005 have been considered.

## (iii) Receivables

As per Regulation $56(v)(1)(c)$ of the 2004 regulations, receivables will be equivalent to two months` average billing calculated on target availability level. Accordingly, in the tariff being allowed, receivables have been worked out on the basis of 2 months' transmission charges.

## (iv) Rate of interest on working capital

As per Regulation 56(v) (2) of the 2004 regulations, rate of interest on working capital shall be on normative basis and shall be equal to the shortterm Prime Lending Rate of State Bank of India as on 1.4.2004 or on 1st April
of the year in which the project or part thereof (as the case may be) is declared under commercial operation, whichever is later. The interest on working capital is payable on normative basis notwithstanding whether or not the transmission licensee has taken working capital loan from any outside agency. The petitioner has claimed interest on working capital @ $10.25 \%$ based on SBI PLR as on 1.4.2004, which is in accordance with the 2004 regulations and has been allowed.
28. The necessary computations in support of interest on working capital are appended herein below:

| Working Capital | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8} \mathbf{- 0 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ma intenance Spares | 82.02 | 86.95 | 92.16 | 97.69 | 103.55 |
| O \& M expenses | 20.02 | 20.82 | 21.66 | 22.51 | 23.42 |
| Receivables | 231.77 | 281.73 | 280.54 | 272.35 | 257.85 |
| Total | 333.81 | 389.49 | 394.36 | 392.55 | 384.82 |
| Rate of Interest | $10.25 \%$ | $10.25 \%$ | $10.25 \%$ | $10.25 \%$ | $10.25 \%$ |
| Interest | $\mathbf{3 4 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 4 4}$ |

## IRANSMISSION CHARGES

29. The transmission charges being allowed for the three tra nsmission a ssets a re summarised below:
(₹ in lakh)

| Transmission Tariff | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4} \mathbf{- 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8} \mathbf{- 0 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Depreciation | 228.46 | 229.79 | 229.79 | 229.79 | 229.79 |
| Interest on Loan | 612.21 | 572.69 | 513.40 | 454.11 | 397.59 |
| Retum on Equity | 275.50 | 278.75 | 278.75 | 278.75 | 278.75 |
| Advance against Depreciation | 0.00 | 319.36 | 361.01 | 361.01 | 320.43 |
| Interest on Working Capital | 34.22 | 39.92 | 40.42 | 40.24 | 39.44 |
| O \& M Expenses | 240.21 | 249.85 | 259.88 | 270.17 | 281.07 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 9 0 . 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9 0 . 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 8 3 . 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 3 4 . 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 4 7 . 0 8}$ |

30. The petitioner shall recover from the beneficiaries the additional transmission charges in one instalment and these charges shall be shared in accordance with the 2004 regulations.
31. The petitioner has also sought reimbursement of filing fee paid. The Commission by its separate general order dated 11.9.2008 in Petition No. 129/2005 (suo-motu) has decided that the petitioner shall not be allowed reimbursement of the petition filing fee. The decision on reimbursement of the licence fee shall be communicated separately.
32. In addition to the transmission charges, the petitioner shall be entitled to other charges like income-tax, incentive, surcharge and other cess and taxes in accordance with the 2004 regulations.
33. This order disposes of Petition No. 102/2010.
sd/-
(M.DEENA DAYALAN) MEMBER
sd/-
(V.S.VERMA)

MEMBER
sd/-
(S.J AYARAMAN) MEMBER
sd/-
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Annexure


|  | Additions during the year | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Repayment during the year | 81.00 | 81.00 | 81.00 | 81.00 | 81.00 |
|  | Net Loan-Closing | 891.00 | 810.00 | 729.00 | 648.00 | 567.00 |
|  | Average Loan | 931.50 | 850.50 | 769.50 | 688.50 | 607.50 |
|  | Rate of Interest | 10.90\% | 10.90\% | 10.90\% | 10.90\% | 10.90\% |
|  | Interest | 101.53 | 92.70 | 83.88 | 75.05 | 66.22 |
|  | Repayment Schedule |  | 12 Annual Instalments from <br> 21.6.2004 |  |  |  |
|  | Bond-XI Option I |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gross Loan opening | 822.00 | 822.00 | 822.00 | 822.00 | 822.00 |
|  | Cumulative Repayment up to the date of commercial operation / previous year | 0.00 | 0.00 | 68.50 | 137.00 | 205.50 |
|  | Net Loan-Opening | 822.00 | 822.00 | 753.50 | 685.00 | 616.50 |
|  | Additions during the year | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Repayment during the year | 0.00 | 68.50 | 68.50 | 68.50 | 68.50 |
|  | Net Loan-Closing | 822.00 | 753.50 | 685.00 | 616.50 | 548.00 |
|  | Average Loan | 822.00 | 787.75 | 719.25 | 650.75 | 582.25 |
|  | Rate of Interest | 9.80\% | 9.80\% | 9.80\% | 9.80\% | 9.80\% |
|  | Interest | 80.56 | 77.20 | 70.49 | 63.77 | 57.06 |
|  | Repayment Schedule |  | 12 Annual Instalments from 07.12.2005 |  |  |  |
| 6 | Bond-XII |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gross Loan opening | 2258.00 | 2258.00 | 2258.00 | 2258.00 | 2258.00 |
|  | Cumulative Repayment up to the date of commercial operation previous year | 0.00 | 0.00 | 188.17 | 376.33 | 564.50 |
|  | Net Loan-Opening | 2258.00 | 2258.00 | 2069.83 | 1881.67 | 1693.50 |
|  | Additions during the year | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Repayment during the year | 0.00 | 188.17 | 188.17 | 188.17 | 188.17 |
|  | Net Loan-Closing | 2258.00 | 2069.83 | 1881.67 | 1693.50 | 1505.33 |
|  | Average Loan | 2258.00 | 2163.92 | 1975.75 | 1787.58 | 1599.42 |
|  | Rate of Interest | 9.70\% | 9.70\% | 9.70\% | 9.70\% | 9.70\% |
|  | Interest | 219.03 | 209.90 | 191.65 | 173.40 | 155.14 |
|  | Repayment Schedule | 12 Annual Instalments from28.3.2006 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | CORP. BANK |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gross Loan opening | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 |
|  | Cumulative Repayment up to the date of commercial operation / previous year | 4.50 | 13.50 | 22.50 | 31.50 | 40.50 |
|  | Net Loan-Opening | 85.50 | 76.50 | 67.50 | 58.50 | 49.50 |
|  | Additions during the year | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Repayment during the year | 9.00 | 9.00 | 9.00 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  | Net Loan-Closing | 76.50 | 67.50 | 58.50 | 49.50 | 40.50 |
|  | Average Loan | 81.00 | 72.00 | 63.00 | 54.00 | 45.00 |
|  | Rate of Interest | 9.15\% | 9.15\% | 9.15\% | 9.15\% | 9.15\% |
|  | Interest | 7.41 | 6.59 | 5.76 | 4.94 | 4.12 |
|  | Repayment Schedule | 20 Half yearly Instalments from10.03.2004 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | PNB-I |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gross Loan opening | 179.00 | 179.00 | 179.00 | 179.00 | 179.00 |



