

**Central Electricity Regulatory Commission
New Delhi**

Petition No. 285/2009

Approval of tariff of Kawas Gas Power Station (656.2 MW) for the period from 1.4.2009 to 31.3.2014 in terms of the judgment of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity dated 25.10.2013 in Appeal No. 70/2012

Petition No. 226/2009

Approval of tariff of Jhanor Gandhar Gas Power Station (657.39 MW) for the period from 1.4.2009 to 31.3.2014 in terms of the judgment of the Appellate Tribunal dated 25.10.2013 in Appeal No. 71/2012

Date of Hearing: 6.3.2014

Coram: Shri Gireesh B. Pradhan, Chairperson
Shri M.Deena Dayalan, Member
Shri A.K.Singhal, Member
Smt. Neerja Mathur, Member

Petitioner: NTPC, New Delhi

Respondents: MPPMCL, MSEDCL, GUVNL, CSPDCL, Govt. of Goa, D&DD and D&NH

Parties present: Shri M.G.Ramachandran, NTPC
Ms. Anusree Bardhan, Advocate, NTPC
Shri Ajay Dua, NTPC
Shri Rohit Chhabra, NTPC
Shri Y.R. Dhingra, NTPC
Shri Rajesh Jain, NTPC
Shri A.S.Pandey, NTPC
Shri Sachin Jain, NTPC
Shri A.K.Chaudhury, NTPC
Shri Sameer Aggarwal, NTPC
Shri V.K.Garg, NTPC
Shri P.Piyush, NTPC
Shri Ajasra Gupta, MPPMCL

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

During the hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner pointed out that the above said petitions have been listed for hearing pursuant to the judgments of the Appellate

Tribunal for Electricity ('the Tribunal') dated 25.10.2013 in Appeal Nos. 70/2012 and 71/2012 respectively, for extension of useful life of Gas Turbine by 10 years after completion of R&M and for the consideration of the capitalization of the expenditure on Air inlet cooling system (in Petition No. 226/2009) in accordance with the regulations specified by the Commission. The learned counsel however prayed that revision of tariff of these generating stations, considering the said judgments of the Tribunal, may be undertaken at the time of truing-up of tariff in terms of Regulation 6(1) of the 2009 Tariff Regulations.

2. The representative of the respondent, MPPMCL did not oppose the prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Accordingly, the Commission accepted the prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner. The Commission however directed the learned counsel to submit on affidavit, the details as to the increase in capacity of the plant after installation of Air inlet cooling system and the improvement in Heat Rate/Efficiency on account of the installation of Air inlet cooling system for consideration, in the truing-up petition to be filed by petitioner for revision of tariff.

By order of the Commission

Sd/-
T.Rout
Chief (Law)