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ORDER

Power Grid Corporation of India Limited (PGCIL) has filed the instant petition for
determination of transmission tariff from COD to 31.3.2019 of 400 kV D/C Allahabad-
Kanpur Line along with associated bays at both ends including 2X50 MVAR Line
Reactor at Kanpur end under Northern Regional System Strengthening Scheme-XXX
in accordance with the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions
of Tariff) Regulations, 2014 (hereinafter referred to as "the 2014 Tariff Regulations").
The petitioner has also prayed for grant of 90% of the Annual Fixed Charges (AFC)
claimed, in terms of proviso (i) of Regulation 7(7) of the 2014 Tariff Regulations for inclusion in PoC mechanism.

2. The scheduled COD of the instant asset was 4.6.2016 and the asset was put into commercial operation on 28.9.2017 and there is a time over-run of more than 15 months. The details of the capital cost claimed by the petitioner are as follows:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Apportioned approved cost (FR)</th>
<th>Estimated expenditure up to COD</th>
<th>Estimated expenditure 2017-18</th>
<th>Estimated expenditure 2018-19</th>
<th>Total estimated completion cost up to 31.3.2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36871.99</td>
<td>32866.80</td>
<td>1039.92</td>
<td>2079.84</td>
<td>35986.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. During the hearing on 23.10.2018, the representative of the petitioner requested for grant Annual Fixed Charges (AFC) in terms of proviso (i) of Regulation 7(7) of the 2014 Tariff Regulations. He further submitted that it has filed the rejoinder to the reply filed by UPPCL and BRPL.

4. As per proviso (i) of Regulation 7(7) of the 2014 Tariff Regulations, the Commission may grant tariff upto 90% of the AFC of the transmission system or element thereof for the purpose of inclusion in POC charges in accordance with the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Sharing of Inter-State Transmission Charges and Losses), Regulation, 2010. Regulation 7(2) of the 2014 Tariff Regulations provides that the application for tariff should be made in accordance with the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Procedure for making of application for determination of tariff, publication of the application and other related matters)
Regulations, 2004 (hereinafter referred to as "2004 Regulations"). Regulation 7(4) of the 2014 Tariff Regulations provides that such an application shall be filed as per Annexure-I of these regulations.

5. The petitioner has made the applications as per Annexure-I of the 2014 Tariff Regulations. The petitioner has also complied with the requirements of 2004 Regulations, such as service of the copy of the application on the beneficiaries, publication of notice and web hosting of the application, etc.

6. After carrying out preliminary prudence check of the AFC claimed by the petitioner and taking into consideration the time and cost over-run which will be considered in detail at the time of issue of final order, the Commission has decided to allow tariff in terms of proviso (i) of Regulation 7(7) of the 2014 Tariff Regulations as given in paragraph 7 of this order.

7. The details of the tariff claimed by the petitioner and tariff awarded by the Commission are as under:

   A. Annual transmission charges claimed by the petitioner are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(₹ in lakh)</th>
<th>2017-18 (pro-rata)</th>
<th>2018-19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3115.17</td>
<td>6335.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   B. Annual transmission charges allowed are given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(₹ in lakh)</th>
<th>2017-18 (pro-rata)</th>
<th>2018-19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2492.14</td>
<td>5068.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. The tariff allowed in this order shall be applicable from the actual CODs of instant assets and the billing, collection and disbursement of the transmission charges shall be governed by the provisions of Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Sharing of Inter-State Transmission Charges and Losses) Regulations, 2010 as amended from time to time. Further, the transmission charges allowed in this order shall be subject to adjustment as per Regulation 7(7) of the 2014 Tariff Regulations.

9. The Commission directed the petitioner to submit the following information on affidavit with an advance copy to the beneficiaries by 20.11.2018:-

(i) Documents in support of Rate of Interest, Date of Drawl and Repayment Schedules (as per Form-9C) of SBI (2016-17)(Q-1), SBI (2017-18)(Q-1) and SBI 10000 deployed for the asset. If there is any default in interest payment on loan, provide the details.

(ii) Form-4A by clearly reconciling the liability amount claimed in Form 7.

(iii) Statement of discharge of the Initial Spares, if any, during the period for all the assets.

(iv) Furnish the details of incidental expenditure incurred (IEDC) during the period of delay in commissioning of all the assets (i.e. from Scheduled COD to actual COD) along with the liquidated damages recovered or recoverable, if any.

(v) Page no.138 of the petition indicates the Statement Showing IDC discharged upto COD for ‘Fiber Optic Communication System in lieu of existing ULDC Microwave link in SR’. The IDC discharge statement for the asset covered in present petition.

(vi) Submit RCE, if any.
(vii) Submit Form-10B, in case the 2X50 MVAr Reactors are being De-capitalized at Kankroli, before getting capitalized at Kanpur GIS Sub-station.

(viii) The details of reason for the assets covered in the instant petition for time over-run and chronology of the time over-run along with documentary evidence in the following format:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Period of Activity</th>
<th>Reason(s) for delay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Planned</td>
<td>Achieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>From</td>
<td>To</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>From</td>
<td>To</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. The respondents are directed to file their reply by 25.11.2018 with an advance copy to the petitioner who shall file its rejoinder, if any by 5.12.2018. The parties shall comply with the above directions within the due date mentioned above and no extension of time shall be granted.

11. The next date of hearing will be intimated to the parties in due course of time.

sd/- (Dr. M.K. Iyer)  
Member

sd/- (P. K. Pujari)  
Chairperson