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NEW DELHI

Petition No. 163/TT/2018

Coram:

Shri P. K. Pujari, Chairperson
Dr. M. K. Iyer, Member

Date of Order : 21.12.2018

In the matter of:

Approval of transmission tariff from COD to 31.3.2019 for Modification of 132 kV bus arrangement including switchgear to Double Main (DM) scheme with GIS at 220/132 kV Birpara Sub-station under Eastern Region Strengthening Scheme XIV in Eastern Region under Regulation 86 of Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 1999 and Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2014.

And in the matter of:

Power Grid Corporation of India Limited
"Saudamini", Plot No.2,
Sector-29, Gurgaon -122 001

Vs

1. Bihar State Power (Holding) Company Ltd.
(Formerly Bihar State Electricity Board -BSEB)
Vidyut Bhavan, Bailey Road, Patna – 800 001

2. West Bengal State Electricity Distribution Company Limited
Bidyut Bhawan, Bidhan Nagar
Block DJ, Sector-II, Salt Lake City
Calcutta - 700 091

3. Grid Corporation of Orissa Ltd.
Shahid Nagar, Bhubaneswar - 751 007
ORDER

Power Grid Corporation of India Limited (PGCIL) has filed the instant petition for determination of transmission tariff from anticipated COD to 31.3.2019 for Modification of 132 kV bus arrangement including switchgear to Double Main (DM) scheme with GIS at 220/132 kV Birpara Sub-station under Eastern Region Strengthening Scheme XIV in Eastern Region in accordance with the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2014 (hereinafter referred to as "the 2014 Tariff Regulations"). The petitioner has also prayed for grant of 90% of the Annual Fixed Charges (AFC) claimed, in terms of proviso (i) of Regulation 7(7) of the 2014 Tariff Regulations for inclusion in PoC mechanism.
2. The instant asset was scheduled to be put into commercial operation on 5.11.2018 and it was put into commercial operation on 31.10.2017 and thus there is not time over-run. The details of the capital cost claimed by the petitioner are as follows:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approved apportioned cost</th>
<th>Exp. up to COD</th>
<th>Projected ACE from COD to 31.3.2018</th>
<th>Projected ACE 2018-19</th>
<th>Projected ACE 2019-20</th>
<th>Estimated completion cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2675.19</td>
<td>1176.54</td>
<td>900.00</td>
<td>269.85</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>2546.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is no cost over-run in case of the instant asset.

3. The matter was heard on 23.10.2018. The representative of the petitioner requested for grant Annual Fixed Charges (AFC) in terms of proviso (i) of Regulation 7(7) of the 2014 Tariff Regulations.

4. As per proviso (i) of Regulation 7(7) of the 2014 Tariff Regulations, the Commission may grant tariff upto 90% of the AFC of the transmission system or element thereof for the purpose of inclusion in POC charges in accordance with the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Sharing of Inter-State Transmission Charges and Losses), Regulation, 2010. Regulation 7(2) of the 2014 Tariff Regulations provides that the application for tariff should be made in accordance with the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Procedure for making of application for determination of tariff, publication of the application and other related matters) Regulations, 2004 (hereinafter referred to as "2004 Regulations"). Regulation 7(4) of the
2014 Tariff Regulations provides that such an application shall be filed as per Annexure-I of these regulations.

5. The petitioner has made the applications as per Annexure-I of the 2014 Tariff Regulations. The petitioner has also complied with the requirements of 2004 Regulations, such as service of the copy of the application on the beneficiaries, publication of notice and web hosting of the application, etc.

6. After carrying out preliminary prudence check of the AFC claimed by the petitioner, the Commission has decided to allow tariff in terms of proviso (i) of Regulation 7(7) of the 2014 Tariff Regulations as given in paragraph 8 of this order.

7. The details of the tariff claimed by the petitioner and tariff awarded by the Commission are as under:-

A. Annual transmission charges claimed are as follows:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017-18 (pro-rata)</th>
<th>2018-19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(` in lakh)</td>
<td>112.28</td>
<td>360.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Annual transmission charges allowed are given below:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017-18 (pro-rata)</th>
<th>2018-19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(` in lakh)</td>
<td>95.44</td>
<td>306.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. The tariff allowed in this order shall be applicable from the actual CODs of instant assets and the billing, collection and disbursement of the transmission charges shall be governed by the provisions of Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Sharing of Inter-State Transmission Charges and Losses) Regulations, 2010 as amended from time to time. Further, the transmission charges allowed in this order shall be subject to adjustment as per Regulation 7(7) of the 2014 Tariff Regulations.

9. The Commission directed the petitioner to submit the labeled diagram of the implemented scheme in colour and the reasons for early COD of the instant asset on affidavit with an advance copy to the beneficiaries by 31.12.2018.

10. The respondents are directed to file their reply by 11.1.2019 with an advance copy to the petitioner who shall file its rejoinder, if any by 21.1.2019. The parties shall comply with the above directions within the due date mentioned above and no extension of time shall be granted.

11. The next date of hearing shall be intimated to the parties.
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