

**CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
NEW DELHI**

Petition No. 126/MP/2024

Subject : Petition under Section 29(5) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 111 of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 1999.

Petitioner : Gujarat Industries Power Company Limited (GIPCL)

Respondents : Western Regional Load Despatch Centre (WRLDC) and Ors.

Date of Hearing : **8.4.2025**

Coram : Shri Jishnu Barua, Chairperson
Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member
Shri Harish Dudani, Member
Shri Ravinder Singh Dhillon, Member

Parties Present : Shri Venkatesh, Advocate, GIPCL
Ms. Priya Dhankhar, Advocate, GIPCL
Ms. Drishthi Rathi, Advocate, GIPCL
Shri Sitesh Mukherjee, Sr. Advocate, WRLDC
Ms. Abiha Zaidi, Advocate, WRLDC
Shri Anuj Manoj Bhawe, Advocate, WRLDC
Shri Gajendra Verma, WRLDC
Shri Ashok Rajan, WRLDC
Ms. Himani Dutta, WRLDC
Ms. Swapna Seshadri, Advocate, GUVNL
Shri Utkarsh Singh, Advocate, GUVNL
Ms. Sneha, Advocate, GUVNL

Record of Proceedings

At the outset, the learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the instant Petition was heard along with Petition Nos.13/MP/2024 and 39/MP/2024, as all of them primarily sought similar relief to allow the scheduling of full capacity of power from the Petitioners' plant at Raghnesda Ultra Mega Solar Park. While Petition Nos. 13/MP/2024 and 39/MP/2024 have already been reserved for order by the Commission, the present matter has been further listed as the Petitioner herein has also prayed for direction against WRLDC to compensate the Petitioner towards the monetary loss incurred by it on account of unlawful instructions issued by WRLDC. However, the Petitioner is no longer pressing for the said relief, and the matter may, accordingly, be reserved for the order.

2. Learned senior counsel for the Respondent, WRLDC submitted that the Petitioner is, however, yet to indicate its compliance with the reactive power requirement as per the CEA Regulations.

3. In response, learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the Petitioner is in the process of complying with the said requirement and is expected to be compliant within a month. In this background, the learned senior counsel for WRLDC and the learned counsel for the Petitioner jointly requested to take up the matter after the Petitioner has complied with the reactive power requirement as per the CEA Regulations.

4. Considering the submissions made by the learned senior counsel and learned counsel for the parties, the Commission adjourned the matter and permitted the Petitioner to place on record its compliance status to the reactive power requirement as per the CEA Regulations within three weeks.

5. The Petition will be listed for hearing on **29.5.2025**.

By order of the Commission

Sd/-

(T.D. Pant)

Joint Chief (Law)