Annexure 4.1 (i)

Coal-based Power Plant

The Variables								
1 Si ze	1000	MW	1000	MW	1000	MW	1000	MW
2 Area	2500	Hectares	2500	Hectares	2500	Hectares	2500	Hectares
3 Project cost per MW	3. 5	Crores Rs						
4 Location (Forest area/ Non	1		0		0		1	
forest area) (1 or 0)								
- Area	1000	Hectares					1000	Hectares
- Density of forest	1						1	
5 Cost for ash dyke cosntruction	2. 5%	of total	2. 5%	of total	7. 5%	of total	7. 5%	of total
		cost		cost		cost		cost
6 Inflation rate	9%		9%		9%		9%	
7 Per capita income of oustees	700	Rs	700	Rs	700	Rs	700	Rs
8 Number of oustees	2000		2000		2000		2000	
9 Cost of supplying fuel wood	2. 4%	of total						
		cost		cost		cost		cost
10 Annual benefits foregone from	7990	Rs/ha/ann	7990	Rs/ha/ann	7990	Rs/ha/ann	7990	Rs/ha/annum
forest		um		um		um		
11 Cultivable land	1500	Hectares	1500	Hectares	1500	Hectares	1500	Hectares
12 Loss of agriculture production	2000	Rs/ha/ann	2000	Rs/ha/ann	2000	Rs/ha/ann	2000	Rs/ha/annum
		um		um		um		
13 Loss of animal husbandry	300	Rs/ha/ann	300	Rs/ha/ann	300	Rs/ha/ann	300	Rs/ha/annum
		um		um		um		
14 Loss of facility in rural area	200	Rs/ha	200	Rs/ha	200	Rs/ha	200	Rs/ha
15 Green belt development	0. 01%	of total						
		cost		cost		cost		cost

Environmental cost (Rs. Crores)	PS1/LT1/A	WB norms	PS1/LT2/A	WB norms	PS1/LT2/A	WB norms	PS1/LT1/AD2	WB norms
	D1		D1		D2			
Control / Stability measures								
Air pollution								
SPM Electrostatic precipitators	70. 95	141. 90	70. 95	141. 90	70. 95	141. 90	70. 95	141. 90
SO ₂ , Chimney with Stack height:	23. 81	23. 81	23. 81	23. 81	23. 81	23. 81	23. 81	23. 81
NO_X								
SO ₂ Flue gas desulphurisation unit								
Dust extraction & suppression	3. 91	3. 91	3. 91	3. 91	3. 91	3. 91	3. 91	3. 91
systems								
Equipment to monitor	0. 20	0. 20	0. 20	0. 20	0. 20	0. 20	0. 20	0. 20
envi ronment								
Equipment to monitor ambient								
air quality								
Water pollution								
Effluent treatment facility	4. 45	4. 45	4. 45	4. 45	4. 45	4. 45	4. 45	4. 45
Condensate cooling water	33. 52						†	
including Reservoir,								
Tubewells, etc. & sanitation								
DM plant waste treatment	1. 22	1. 22	1. 22	1. 22	1. 22	1. 22	1. 22	1. 22
systems								
Sewerage collection, treatment	1. 00	1. 00	1. 00	1. 00	1. 00	1. 00	1. 00	1. 00
& disposal system								

Lan

d

Rehabilitation & resettlement	
of displaced persons	
Restoration of land in	These values are highly location specific and hence any average would be distorting
construction area	

Ash di sposal									
Ash handling	g system								
	- Ci vi l	24. 66	24. 66	24. 66	24. 66	24. 66	24. 66	24. 66	24. 66
Works									
	- Mechanical	61. 73	61. 73	61. 73	61. 73	61. 73	61. 73	61. 73	61. 73
Works									
Treatment of	f ash pond		0		0		0		0
effl uent									
Ash Dykes		87. 50	87. 50	87. 50	87. 50	262. 50	262. 50	262. 50	262. 50

Environmental cost (Rs. Crores)	PS1/LT1/A	WB norms	PS1/LT2/A	WB norms		P/A V	WB norms	PS1/LT1/AD2	WB norms
	D1		D1		D2				
Control / Stability measures									
Fore									
st									
Environmental losses (when	276. 36	276. 3	0. 0	0.	00 0	. 00	0.00	276. 36	276. 36
compensatory afforestation is									
not done) or afforestation									
Cost of supplying free fuel	84. 00	84. (84. 0	84.	00 84	. 00	84. 00	84. 00	84. 00
wood to workers during									
construction									
Nois									
e									
Measures to control noise]								
impact (ear muffs)			The noise	e level is	s maintaine	ed wi	thin limi	ts	
Vi su									
al									
Green belt development		0. 35	0. 35	0. 35	0. 35 0	. 35	0. 35	0. 35	0. 35
Other costs									
Control of fire & explosion		11. 51	11. 51	11. 51	11. 51 1	1. 5	11. 51	11. 51	11. 51
hazards (safety measures)						1			
Loss of value of timber, fuel		2. 06	2. 06	0. 00	0.00 0	. 00	0. 00	2. 06	2. 06
wood and minor forest produce									
and manhours lost on annual									
basis (for forest area)			I						

Loss of animal husbandry,	0. 12	0. 12	0. 12	0. 12	0. 12	0. 12	0. 12	0. 12
productivity, fodder								
Loss of agriculture produce	0. 77	0. 77	0. 77	0. 77	0. 77	0. 77	0. 77	0. 77
Loss of public facilities	0. 13	0. 13	0. 13	0. 13	0. 13	0. 13	0. 13	0. 13
Social cost for suffering to	1. 08	1. 08	1. 08	1. 08	1. 08	1. 08	1. 08	1. 08
oustees								

TOTAL	689. 33	760. 28	410. 91	481. 86	585.	656. 86	864. 33	935. 28
					91			
Environment cost as % of	20%	22%	12%	14%	17%	19%	25%	27%
TOTAL								

Impac	t measures	
Heal		
th		
	Morbi di ty	1
	Mortality	1
Di spl	acement	1
	Psychological suffering	
	Health impact]
	Loss in livelihood	
Bi o- d	i versi ty	The valuation techniques available are mostly applicable to developed countries
	Marine life	hence not possible to extend to Indian conditions. The impact of air study done
	Wildlife habitat	Brandon and Hommann is an analysis for all air pollution from all sources and he
	Upsetting of ecological balance	cannot be extended to one single power project. Thus one needs to keep in mind t
Land/	Material	additional cost associated with these impacts and the corresponding under estima
	Soil erosion effect	involved in environmental costing.
	Material erossion/soiling	1
	Impact of productivity loss	1
Noi s]
e		
	Hearing loss	
	Psychological effect	
Vi su		
al		
	Aesthetic loss	

Note. Control/Stability measures and other costs will have corresponding monetary values. For the impact measures corresponding economic valuation have to be done.